Translation





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P 02/101JS/R	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Prelin	Notification of Transmittal of International ninary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/EP2003/006092	International filing date (day/month/y 11 June 2003 (11.06.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C07K 14/415, C12N 15/11, 15/6	ational classification and IPC 3, A61K 38/16, 39/36, 48/00						
Applicant	MERCK PATENT GMBI	H					
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 ` sheets, including this cover sheet. 							
amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	or this report and/or sheets containing and the Administrative Instructions under the	escription, claims and/or drawings which have been rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule PCT).					
These annexes consist of a to	otal of sheets.						
3. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:						
I Basis of the report							
II Priority		No. 10 and 10 an					
" E	of opinion with regard to novelty, invo	entive step and industrial applicability					
1 17 🗀	IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
V Reasoned statement citations and expla	nations supporting such statement	voity, inventive step of instantial apparature,					
VI Certain documents	VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in	VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observatio	VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of con	apletion of this report					
14 January 2004 (14.0	1.2004)	27 September 2004 (27.09.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/El	P Authorized	officer					
Facsimile No.	Telephone	No.					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)



International a section No.
PCT/Ex 2003/006092

I. Basis	of the rep	oort	
1. With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*	
	the inten	national application as originally filed	
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the descr	ription:	
	pages	1-26	, as originally filed
	pages		, filed with the demand
	pages _	, filed with the letter of	
\square	the clain	as:	
	pages		, as originally filed
	pages	, as amended (together	with any statement under Article 19
	pages		, filed with the demand
	pages	1-20, filed with the letter of	10 August 2004 (26.08.2003)
\boxtimes	the draw	rings:	
L A	pages	1/5-5/5	, as originally filed
	pages		, filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of	
	the come	nce listing part of the description:	
	•	nce listing part of the description:	as originally filed
	pages pages		, filed with the demand
	pages .	1-32 , filed with the letter of	·
the The	internation se element the lang the lang the lan or 55.3 th regard liminary ex contain filed to furnish furnish The st interna The st been for	guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Ruguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). Iguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary (b). to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international axamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: International application in written form. International application in computer readable form. International application in written form. International application in computer readable form. International application in computer readable form. International application as filed has been furnished written sequence listing does not attornal application as filed has been furnished. International application is identical turnished.	which is: ale 23.1(b)). examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ cional application, the international
in and	This re beyond this report 70.17).	the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sing the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation or invitation or invitation of the supplemental sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anneal sheet containing s	ation under Article 14 are referred to ot contain amendments (Rule 70.16
		-	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International a section No.
PCT/E-2003/006092

III. Non-e	stablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1. The quindustri	destions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be ially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application.					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 6.8 (both partially)					
becaus	e:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	·					
-	,					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 6.8 (both partially)					
2. A me	2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The applicant's attention is drawn to the international search report (Box I, point 2, and PCT/ISA 210). The objections raised therein pursuant to PCT Article 5 and PCT Article 6 as concerns claims 6 and 8 are maintained here for the same reasons.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5, 7, 9-12, 14-20	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	13	NO
	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-5, 7, 9-20	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5, 7, 9-20	_ YES
•	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: SUCK R ET AL: "The high molecular mass allergen fraction of timothy grass pollen (Phleum pratense) between 50-60 kDa is comprised of two major allergens: Ph1 p 4 and Ph1 p 13" CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL ALLERGY, Vol. 30, No. 10, October 2000 (2000-10), pages 1395-1402, XP002260344 ISSN: 0954-7894
- D2: FISHER S ET AL: "Characterization of Ph1 p4, a major timothy grass (Phleum pratense) pollen allergen" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, Vol. 98, No. 1, July 1996 (1996-07), pages 189-198, XP000953216 ISSN: 0091-6749
- D3: FAHLBUSCH B ET AL: "Detection and quantification of group 4 allergens in grass pollen extracts using monoclonal antibodies" CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL ALLERGY, Vol. 28, No. 7, July 1998 (1998-07), pages 799-807, XP002260345 ISSN: 0954-7894
- D4: SUCK R ET AL: "COMPLEMENTARY DNA CLONING AND EXPRESSION OF A NEWLY RECOGNIZED HIGHMOLECULAR MASS ALLERGEN PHL P 13 FROM TIMOTHY GRASS POLLEN (PHLEUM PRATENSE)" CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL ALLERGY,

BLACKWELL SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS, LONDON, GB, Vol. 30, No. 3, March 2000 (2000-03), pages 324-332, XP000953168 ISSN: 0954-7894

D5: STUMVOLL SABINE ET AL: "Purification, structural and immunological characterization of a timothy grass (Phleum pratense) pollen allergen, Phl p 4, with cross-reactive potential." BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, Vol. 383, No. 9, September 2002 (2000-09), pages 1383-1396, XP002260346 ISSN: 1431-6730

The following document is not an international search report citation:

D6: Leduc-Brodard V, Inacio F, Jaquinod M, Forest E, David B, Peltre G: "Characterization of Dac g 4, a major basic allergen from Dactylis glomerata pollen" in J Allergy Clin Immunol. Vol. 98, No. 6 Pt 1, December 1996 (1996-12), pages 1065-1072, XP009032626

1. Novelty

1.1 Claim 13, when it refers to claim 3, covers nothing other than a Phl p 4 polypeptide. However, purified Phl p 4 is already known from D1 and D3 (D1: figure 1, line 2; D3: figure 1), such that this claim does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

Moreover, claim 13 is also not novel when it refers to claim 5, since it then claims a polypeptide which cross-reacts with the allergen Phl p 4. However, D3 discloses that a monoclonal antibody directed against Phl p 4 detects homologous proteins from other grasses, including Dactylis glomerate Dac g 4 (D3: figures 6 and 7; page 804, right-hand column, final paragraph, to page 805, left-hand column, line

6). Purified Dac g 4 was already known from D6 (D6: page 1069, right-hand column, final paragraph; page 1070, right-hand column, paragraph 2); thus D6 destroys the novelty of the subject matter of claim 13.

The term "recombinant" in relation to the polypeptide in claim 13 changes nothing, since, even if recombinant DNA technology is used, the polypeptide can certainly be identical to the prior art polypeptide. The term "recombinant" indicates only the production method used, but is not automatically associated with technical features that distinguish the polypeptide from the known polypeptide (see PCT Guidelines 5.26-5.27).

1.2 The other claims appear to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

2. Inventive step

2.1 **D1** can be considered the closest prior art. **D1**discloses the purifying of the principal allergens
Phl p 4 and Phl p 13 from Phleum pratense (**D1**: page
1396, left-hand column, final paragraph, to righthand column, paragraph 1; figure 1). The two
allergens are presented as important candidates for
potential recombinant therapeutic agents for
improved immunotherapy (**D1**: abstract).

The present application mentions the polynucleotide and polypeptide sequences of isoforms of the allergen Phl p 4. Recombinant Phl p 4 was expressed in *E. coli* and individual fragments of Phl p 4 with hypoallergenic properties were generated and tested.

In light of the closest prior art, the problem to be solved was that of preparing the complete DNA sequence of Phl p 4 as the basis for therapeutic agents for the improved immunotherapy of grass pollen allergies.

The determining of the coding DNA sequence proceeding from a protein which can repeatedly be obtained in the purified form in the prior art (D1 and D3) a priori does not require a person skilled in the art to be inventive today. In the case of group 4 grass pollen allergens from other species, peptide sequences from enzymatic digestion could already be determined (e.g. D6: page 1068, righthand column, paragraph 2). Using the example of the allergen Phl p 13, whose purified form also occurs in the closest prior art, D1, D4 discloses the manner in which a person skilled in the art could proceed when confronted with this problem, namely microsequencing the purified protein or its proteolytic fragments, producing degenerated oligonucleotides on the basis of the partial protein sequences, and amplifying a probe for screening a DNA bank or RACE (D4: section entitled "Methods").

As concerns the occurrence of different isoforms of Phl p 4, the applicants themselves state that "the existence of such isoforms is to be expected owing to the heterogenic isoelectric behaviour of natural Phl p 4" and that "all pollen allergens known hitherto comprise such isoforms". Therefore it is not at all unexpected that different isoforms of Phl p 4 exist.

For the above reasons, no inventive step pursuant to PCT Article 33(3) can be recognized in the solution to the problem of determining the primary structure of the group 4 major allergen Phl p 4 from Phleum pratense.

3. Industrial applicability

Insofar as individual claims have not been excluded from the examination according to Box III, the present claims meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(4).

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.